Discrete and Computational Geometry, SS 14 Exercise Sheet "1": Dilation of Graphs and Chains University of Bonn, Department of Computer Science I

- Written solutions have to be prepared until **Tuesday 22nd of April**, **14:00 pm**. There will be a letterbox in the LBH building.
- You may work in groups of at most two participants.
- Please contact Hilko Delonge, hilko.delonge@uni-bonn.de, if you want to participate and have not yet signed up for one of the exercise groups.
- If you are not yet subscribed to the mailing list, please do so at https://lists.iai.uni-bonn.de/mailman/listinfo.cgi/lc-dcgeom

## Exercise 1: Number of dilation pairs

(4 Points)

The geometric dilation of a planar graph G is defined as

$$\delta(G) := \sup_{p \neq q \in G} \frac{|\pi_p^q|}{|pq|}$$

where |pq| is the euclidean distance from p to q and  $|\pi_p^q|$  is the length of a shortest path in G from p to q.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of non-intersecting polygonal chains C in the plane where  $\delta(C) > 1$ . Let n be the number of vertices of C and P(C) be the number of pairs  $p, q \in C$ , where the geometric dilation of C is attained and p is a vertex of C.

- Prove the upper bound  $P(C) \in O(n^2)$  if  $C \in \Gamma$ .
- Verify that  $P(C) \in \Omega(n^2)$  holds by giving a construction scheme for suitable chains C (for an arbitrary number n of vertices).
- Prove  $P(C) = \infty$  holds if  $C \notin \Gamma$  is an arbitrary non-intersecting polygonal chain.

## Exercise 2: Visibility and maximum dilation

(4 Points)

The graph-theoretic dilation of a planar graph G with vertex set V is

$$\delta_{graph}(G) := \sup_{p \neq q \in V} \frac{|\pi_p^q|}{|pq|}$$

where |pq| is the euclidean distance from p to q and  $|\pi_p^q|$  is the length of a shortest path in G from p to q.

- Construct a planar graph G where the maximum graph-theoretic dilation of G is attained by a pair of non-visible vertices.
- Recall the definition of geometric dilation of a planar graph. Prove that for a planar, simply connected graph G there is always a pair of points  $p, q \in G$  with maximal dilation so that p and q are co-visible.

## Exercise 3: Dilation and AVDs (4 Points)

The decision problem for the geometric dilation of a polygonal chain  $C = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$  was translated into the problem of tracing the chain C through an additively weighted Voronoi diagram.

We proved the following statement: If for a point  $(q_x, q_y) \in C$  appearing after  $C_i = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_i)$  on C, the point  $(q_x, q_y, a_q)$  with  $a_q := \frac{|C_{p_1}^q|}{K}$  lies below any cone  $K_{p_i}$  starting at height  $a_{p_i} := \frac{|C_{p_1}^{p_i}|}{K}$  at  $p_i$ , the dilation  $\delta_C(p_i, q)$  between q and  $p_i$  is smaller than K.

- Why do we trace the chain  $p_i, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_n$  through the additively weighted Voronoi diagram of  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_i$  with weights  $a_{p_i}$ ?
- Why can we compute the Voronoi diagram for all points  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$  with weights  $a_{p_i}$  and trace the complete chain (for one direction) only once? Or the other way round: Why is it not necessary to incrementally compute the Voronoi diagrams for  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_i$  and successively trace the chains  $p_i, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_n$ ?