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## Cop and Robber Game in a graph

- Graph $G=(V, E)$
- Set the cop on a vertex
- Set the robber on a vertex
- Move alternatingly, try to visit robbers position

Cop and Robber game for graphs:
Instance: A Graph $G=(V, E)$ and the cardinality of the cops $C$. Question: Is there a winning strategy $S$ for the cops $C$ ?

## Active and passive

Active version: Robbers has to move in each step! Makes a difference!


## Classification and pitfalls

- Classes $G_{R}$ and $G_{C}$ for winning of cop or robber
- Situation at the end, single cop, $G_{C}$
- A pitfall for the robber
- Definitions

For a pair $\left(v_{r}, v_{c}\right)$ of vertices we call $v_{r}$ a pitfall and $v_{c}$ its dominating vertex if $N\left(v_{r}\right) \cup\left\{v_{r}\right\} \subseteq N\left(v_{c}\right)$ holds. Obviously, a graph $G$ whithout a pitfall is in $G_{R}$.

## Graph without pitfalls

Graphs without pitfalls cannot have a winning strategy for the cop.


## Algorithmis approach

Successively, remove pitfalls is an algorithmic approach!
Lemma 31: Let $v_{r}$ be a pitfall of some graph $G$. Then

$$
G \in G_{C} \Longleftrightarrow G \backslash\left\{v_{r}\right\} \in G_{C}
$$

## Proof:

1. $G \backslash\left\{v_{r}\right\} \in G_{R} \Longrightarrow G \in G_{R}$ (pitfall by cop $=$ dom vertex by cop)
2. $G \backslash\left\{v_{r}\right\} \in G_{C} \Longrightarrow G \in G_{C}$ (pitfall by robber $=$ dom. vertex by robber)

## Algorithmis approach

Successively, remove pitfalls is an algorithmic approach!
Theorem 32: The graph $G$ is in $G_{C}$, if and only if the successive removement of pitfalls finally ends in a single vertex. The classification of a graph can be computed in polynomial time.

Proof:
Lemma 31, remove a pitfall.
Detect a pitfall in polynomial time.
Example!

## Arbitrary representatives

Product $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ of two graphs $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, G_{2}\right)$ Vertex set $V_{1} \times V_{2}$
Edges set: $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ and $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ of $V_{1} \times V_{2}$ build an edge if:
(1) $v_{1}=w_{1}$ and $\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right) \in E_{2}$ or
(2) $\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $v_{2}=w_{2}$ or
(3) $\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right) \in E_{1}$ and $\left(v_{2}, w_{2}\right) \in E_{2}$.

Example!

## Arbitrary representatives, Product

Lemma 33: If $G_{1}, G_{2} \in G_{C}$, then $G_{1} \times G_{2} \in G_{C}$
Proof:
Winning strategy for $G_{1}$ that starts in $v_{1}^{s}$ and catches the robber in $v_{1}^{e}$ and $G_{2}$ that starts in $v_{2}^{s}$ and catches the robber in $v_{2}^{e}$.
Cop can start in ( $v_{1}^{s}, v_{2}^{s}$ ) apply the strategies simultaneously and finally catches the robber in a vertex $\left(v_{1}^{e}, v_{2}^{e}\right)$.

## Arbitrary representatives, Retraction

- Graph $G$ and subgraph $H$
- Retraction from $G$ to $H$
- Mapping $\varphi: V(G) \mapsto V(H)$
- $\varphi(H)=H$ for $(u, v) \in E$ we have $(\varphi(v), \varphi(u)) \in E(H)$
- Graph $H$ is a retract of $G$, if a retraction from $G$ to $H$ exists.

Note that $G \backslash\left\{v_{r}\right\}$ for a pitfall $v_{r}$ is a retract of $G . \varphi\left(v_{r}\right)=v_{c}$.

## Arbitrary representatives, Product

Lemma 34: If $G \in G_{C}$, and graph $H$ is a retract of $G$, then $H \in G_{C}$.

Proof:

- Assume $H \in G_{R}, \varphi$ mapping of retraction
- Winning strategy for H exists, extend to $G$
- $R$ remains in $H$ and identifies the moves of $C$ in $G$ as moves in $H$.
- $C$ moves from $v$ to $u$ in $G$, the robber indentifies this move as a move from $\varphi(u)$ to $\varphi(w)$ which exists in $H$ by definition of $\varphi$
- $G \in G_{R}$

Theorem 35: The class of graphs $G$ in $G_{C}$ is closed under the operations product and retraction.

## Number of cops required

- Graph $G$ with 4-cycle, one cop, $G \in G_{R}$
- $c(G)$, minimal number of cops required
- Vertex-Cover: $V_{c} \subseteq V$ so that any vertex $u \in V \backslash V_{c}$ has a neighbor in $V_{c}$.
- Minimum vertex cover is an upper bound on $c(G)$.
- $c(G)$ can be arbitraily large for some graphs


## Number of cops required, negative results!

Theorem 36: Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with minimum degree $n$ that contains neither 3 - nor 4 -cycles. We conclude $c(G) \geq n$.

Proof:

- Assume that $n-1$ cops are sufficient
- Assume no vertex cover of size $<n$
- $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}$ starting positions
- Safe position for the robber, 2 steps away exists
- Next move of the cops
- No cop can threaten (occupy/be adjacent to) two neighbors of the robber, no such cycles
- Still one neigbor is safe!
- Show that no vertex cover of this size exists


## Number of cops required, negative results

Theorem 36: Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with minimum degree $n$ that contains neither 3 - nor 4 -cycles. We conclude $c(G) \geq n$.

Proof:

- No vertex cover of size $n-1$.
- Vertex set $V=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$ of $G$
- $w \neq v_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ exists
- $N(w)$, of $w$ : $k$ vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ from $V$ and $l-k$ vertices $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{l-k}$ not in $V$
- We have $I \geq n, k \leq n-1$ and $I-k \geq 1$
- No 3- and 4-cycles, $N\left(w_{i}\right) \cap N\left(w_{j}\right)$ has to be $\{w\}$ for $i \neq j$
- None of the $N\left(w_{i}\right)$ s can contain a vertex of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$, since this would give a 3-cycle with $w$
- If the set $V$ is a vertex cover for $G$, any $N\left(w_{i}\right)$ has to contain a different vertex from $V$.
- We require $I-k$ different vertices from $v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}$ and $n$ vertices from $V$ in total, a contradiction.


## Number of cops required, negative results

Theorem 37: For every $n$ there exists a graph without 3- or 4-cylces with minimum degree $n$. So, for any $n$ there is a graph with $c(G) \geq n$.

Proof:
By induction!

- $n=2$ the simple 5-cycle
- 3-colorable and degree $\geq n$. At least $n$ agents
- From $n$ to $n+1$ !


## Number of cops required, negative results

Theorem 37: For every $n$ there exists a graph without 3- or 4-cylces with minimum degree $n$. So, for any $n$ there is a graph with $c(G) \geq n$.

Proof: Inductive step! Four copies!


Theorem 38: Consider a graph $G$ with maximum degree 3 and the property that any two adjacent edges are contained in a cycle of lenght at most 5 . Then $c(G) \leq 3$.

Proof:

- Position of the robber
- Build paths $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ from $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ to adjacent edges
- Always move closer!
- $P_{1}=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, r_{1}, r\right\}, P_{2}=\left\{c_{2}, \ldots, r_{2}, r\right\}$ and
$P_{3}=\left\{c_{3}, \ldots, r_{3}, r\right\}$
- $I=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}$, decrease!

Theorem 38: Consider a graph $G$ with maximum degree 3 and the property that any two adjacent edges are contained in a cycle of lenght at most 5 . Then $c(G) \leq 3$.

Proof:
(1) $R$ stands still. Cops move toward $R$ and $I^{\prime} \leq I-3$.
(2) The robber $R$ moves to $r_{1}$ w.l.o.g.
$r_{1}$ has degree 1: Cannot happen, because of $\left(r, r_{1}\right)$ and $\left(r, r_{2}\right)$ are adjacent ( 5 cycle!).
$r_{1}$ has degree 2: Either $c_{1}$ was on $r_{1}$ and we are done or move all three cops toward $r$ which gives

$$
I^{\prime} \leq I_{1}-2+I_{2}+I_{3}=I-2<I .
$$

Theorem 38: Consider a graph $G$ with maximum degree 3 and the property that any two adjacent edges are contained in a cycle of lenght at most 5 . Then $c(G) \leq 3$.
$r_{1}$ has degree 3: Situation as follows! Use the paths

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\left\{c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{1}\right\} P_{2}=\left\{c_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{2}, y, x, r_{1}\right\} \text { and } \\
& P_{3}=\left\{c_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{3}, r, r_{1}\right\} \text { with length } \\
& I^{\prime} \leq I_{1}-2+I_{2}+1+l_{3}=I-1<I^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$



## Number of cops required, positive result

Theorem 40: For any planar graph $G$ we have $c(G) \leq 3$.
Proof:

- Two cops protect some paths, the third cop can proceed!



## Number of cops required, positive result

Lemma 39: Consider a graph $G$ and a shortest path
$P=s, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}, t$ between two vertices $s$ and $t$ in $G$, assume that we have two cops. After a finite number of moves the path is protected by the cops so that after a visit of the robber $R$ of a vertex of $P$ the robber will be catched.

- Move cop conto some vertex $c=v_{i}$ of $P$
- Assuming, $r \neq v_{i}$ closer to some $x$ in $s, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}$ and some $y$ in $v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{n}, t$. Contradiction shortest path from $x$ and $y$
- $d(x, c)+d(y, c) \leq d(x, r)+d(r, y)$
- Move toward $x$, finally: $d(r, v) \geq d(c, v)$ for all $v \in P$
- Now robot moves, but we can repair all the time
- $r$ goes to some vertex $r^{\prime}$ and we have $d\left(r^{\prime}, v\right) \geq d(r, v)-1 \geq d(c, v)-1$ for all $v \in P$.
- Some $v^{\prime} \in P$ with $d\left(c, v^{\prime}\right)-1=d\left(r^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ exists, move to $v^{\prime}$

